

G. R. S. 1X-5]

Previous references.

1916-~~17~~

File No. 180-15

(67)

Serial Nos. 11, 14, 15, 16, 20,
21 & 26

Later references.

67 180-15 81s: 4, 5, 7, 10, 19, 22, 23, 24, 25, 27, 28, 29 & 30
13 October 1919

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 7

Part B for ^{April} ~~March~~ 1919

SUBJECT.

Sales of the lands of the late-
Mr Dalway Devraj Urs.

May be filed as far as the munsh
action is concerned.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(97)

NOTES.

File No. 180-15

FILE HEADING.

Sales of the lands of the late Mr.
Balavoy Devakaj Esq. postponed

From

No.	Dated		Received
Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1365
12.2.16

B.R. 1132

W. D. 791—GPB—2,000—2-10-15

REPLY.

(To be written on this margin.)

Office of the Dewan of Mysore.

NOTE.

Dated.....11th February.....1916.

Cutting from the "Daily Post" of the 7th February 1916, regarding the stoppage of certain revenue sale in the Bangalore Taluk.

Forwarded to the Secretary, Revenue Department.

It is presumed that the sale refers to that of the lands of the late Mr. Dalav Devaraj Urs.

Al mis 841 qm?

The Dewan desires that the Secretary should be good enough to enquire when the order postponing the sale was issued and why proper notice was not given of the postponement to intending bidders. He wants that the result of the enquiry should be intimated to him within a week.

By Order,

S. Majagond

Under Secretary on duty
with the Dewan.

The Secretary,

Revenue Department.

Br 11

12/2/16

Daily Post, 7.2.16.

Letters to the Editor.

The Editor does not undertake responsibility for the opinions expressed by correspondents.]

Revenue Sales.

TO THE EDITOR, "DAILY POST."

DEAR SIR,—Certain landed properties were to be sold by public auction at Ramohally Village in Bangalore Taluq on the 2nd instant—so ran the Notice in the *Mysore Government Gazette*, of December 29th, 1915, page 2500.

I was one of the unfortunate of the several persons who had proceeded to the place of auction, with the fee to bid for the lands.

The sale was to be conducted by the Deputy Commissioner in person, and we had to *mark time* for his arrival, cooling our heels, but as that Officer did not turn up we had to go to the local Shekdar who gave us to understand that he had not received any official intimation, but had heard that the sale was postponed.

If public auctions are not conducted on notified dates, there is great inconvenience caused to the public.

If the notification in the *Mysore Gazette* was merely to fool the people it might have been on the 1st of April instead of 2nd January, then it might have been excusable.

JALABUDDIN.

We insert our correspondent's letter with the distinct proviso that we have no personal knowledge of the facts. But, if they are accurately stated, he has a very real grievance indeed. If Government announcements are to become of no effect without official notice, then not only will individuals be put to unmerited inconvenience, but Government itself will lose that respect which should be paid it by the people.—(ED.)

72-841715

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

A note on the same subject was submitted to General Secretary on the 9th instant, with reference to the letter in the Daily Post.

Submitted. May this be sub^d in ^{Am} ~~14/2~~ ^{14/2} ~~14/2~~ Contⁿ? The Dewan Sahib wants a reply before the 19th inst^t.

Sub^d for infⁿ before subⁿ to the Gen^l Secy in Contⁿ

Revised

Am
14/2

Seen

Am

Clerk)
B.V.H.

15/2/16
12

Where are the previous papers? I believe the main file is

at May^l before Council. The Dewan may be put up within a week.

Am 16/2/16
OK

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

GPB-50,000-5-8:15

Sub^d

- (1) The main file is in Council
- (2) Draft to D.C. Bangalore is put up in the linked file

G.R.S. 13]

Re article in the Daily Post
in connection with the postponement of
the sale of the properties of the late
Mr D. Devraj Urs.

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Shown to Dev Secy
Rev
Am
8/2/16

Submitted

The sale referred to
in the article was in res-
pect of landed properties
of the late Mr Dalwaj Devraj
Urs noted by the Dy Commr
in pursuance of G.O. No

Sub 929-23 dated 3rd March
G.O. 38-12-13

- Sec 1915 issued from Br II

. That branch will be able
to say what further action
was taken in the matter
and whether the sale was
subsequently ordered to be
stayed.

8

8.2.1916.

Br II for favour of a
note within before
this evening. The Dewan
wants a note within
3 days.

ASD
Br II

Don
8/2/16

Submitted

3) On a representation from
Mr D. Nanjaraj Urs, son of

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips,
the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on
this form.

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

x H.
(in the linked file)

M.D. Devalaj Sir, the Sale ordered before was directed to be postponed pending issue of further orders in the matter. (no. 78 3535-7 & 24-1-16)
97180-155
The file on the subject is in Council.

4) In this office no 78 3685-7
21.2.16 the Dycom^r of Bangalore, Mysore & Hassan etc were asked to state whether the order of postponement referred to was received by them and action taken thereon. Their replies are submitted in the linked file.

Am 8/2/16 5) The notice in the Gazette was issued by the Dy Com^r B'lore before on the authority of the 1st order but apparently he does not seem to have issued a 2nd notice, when the ^{in the Gazette} communication postponing

the Sale was sent to him. Apparently he had no time to issue a 2nd notice but the local Dy I/O has been informed by him. 8/2

6) Submitted with reference to Dewan's orders. Communication to me personally by Mr. Dewan. 9/2

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

GPB-50.000-5-8-17

The file matter has been brought to the notice of the Secy also. The Dewan wanted to know how far the action was taken. 9/2

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

I need not submit the
papers
Done
9/2

7. The papers will have perhaps to be sent to the General Secretary for Submission to the Dewan.

8. It is a matter for Consideration whether the matter is important enough to require a public explanation in the shape of a Press.

Communique

Dev Secy)

Done
8/2/16

9.

Rem: You may take the needed action

for
8/2/16

gl Secy)

Done
9-2-16

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

12) Re The article headed "Revenue Sales" in the Correspondence Columns of the Daily Post dated 7.2.16.

11) Although the article is headed "Revenue Sales", it has nothing to do with a Sales under the Land Revenue Code or Rules. The Sales referred are those of the properties of the late Mr Dalvoy Devarej Ws, ordered by Govt in the Financial Department in satisfaction of the debt due to Govt by the deceased nobleman.

12) Since the Sales first notified were ordered to subsequently held in abeyance* but from

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

GPB-50,000-5-8-15

G.O. No H 3535-7

dated

9.11.15-5

24.1.16

Notes L. ... held by B

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

It appears as if there was not sufficient ^{before the date of the sale} time for the day. Comm to issue a fresh notice in the Gazette cancelling the above. But it is stated necessary orders were issued to the officers concerned.

13 3. The Case does not appear to be sufficiently important to call for a public explanation in the shape of a Press

Communique
Rev Secy)

10/2/61

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

GPB-50,000-5-8-46

14 The case is being dealt with in B A

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

under the
 Financial Sec's
 instructions

for

10/2/16

Dr. Howard

4198
 10-2-16

15

The complaint made is that the postponement of the sale was not publicly notified. If there was no time to do this, at least, an official should have been posted at the place of sale with a written notice to inform intending bidders of the postponement.

16 The matter should be further enquired into and the result reported to me.

14.2.16 db

17 BV II

for

15/2/16

15/2/16

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

2017

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

19. Draft to D.C. Bangalore Subd.

Am ~~AM~~
17/2
Revised)

Re
17/2

for

17/2/16

22. Done

18/2

24

No reply has been sent by the
D.C. Bangalore to this office letter no
R 4033 of 2-2-16 making the enquiry.
Order solicited if a reminder may be
issued to him. Draft subd.

Am ~~AM~~
7/3
Revised)

Re
8-2-16

22

The draft sent
may pass the

draft

for

12/2/16

Mon
13/3

24

Done

Re
18/2

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips,
the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on
this form.

Serial
No.

To The Dycom, Bangalore
no. 7c 4033 of 21.2.16

forwarded the cutting from the
Daily Post and enquires if arrangement was
not made to inform intending bidders of the
postponement of the sale.

11.

From The D.C. Bangalore -
no. S. 626 of 30.3.16.

Reports that the Sherikdan of
the concerned holdings in Nazadi Channapattana Talu
were at the spot on the date of sale & communicated
to the people its postponement, ~~that~~ that so far
as the Bangalore Talu is concerned the order of postpone-
ment was not communicated to the Sherikdan
concerned by oversight of the Taluk Head
Munshee, that the Sherikdan who had casually
learned the order, gave the information to a
Mahomedan gentleman and that ^{the} conduct of
the Head Munshee will be suitably noticed for
his default.

24-

is submitted. Kind reference is invited to the
Dewan's orders in paras 15216 Supra.

Rev. Secy

17/5/16

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

C. 2 File No. 180 of 1915.

Serial
No.

The Dycom may be asked to report
what notice he has taken of the Head munsif's
neglect. *MT*

19/5/16

Dycom

6255
20.5.16

19/5/16

23.5.16 *do*

8/11/16

25/5/16

Draft Subd

for *MT*
26/5/16Issue *he*
27/5/16

14

To The Dycom, Bangalore DT
no. 7c 6143 of 1.6.16

Request him to report what notice
has been taken of the neglect of Head munsif
Ramanijayengar.

15

From The D.C. Bangalore

no. S. 826 of 7/13.6.16

States that the official has
extended his leave upto 7.8.16 and that
on his return to duty suitable ~~exp~~ notice
will be taken after taking his explanation,
and the result reported to govt.

37 Submitted. May the further communication
be awaited?

AM *MT*
30/6/16

Yes *he*
1.7.16

No ~~rep~~ further communication need yet. Order issued.

AM *MT*
21/11/16

Put up draft reminder

he
23/11

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

C. 9 File No. 180

Serial
No.

L/1

Draft reminder subd

Am 29/11

Issue

18
30/11

16

To The Deputy Commissioner Bangalore Dist.

H. 2759 of 6.12.16

With ref to Sr. 15 Enquiry when the further
reports promised in his letter, a reply may be
expected.

Gno

No reply has been received to the above com-
munication. Subd for orders.Am 29/11

Long Remind again D.O.

18
28.1.17.

ASD

Draft D.O. Subd

Am 30/11

Issue

18
30.1.17

20

Subd with the D.C.'s reply that ^{the} ~~reply~~
further report maybe expected before the end of this month.Am 11/12

Await

18
8/2

ASD

L/1

Subd. The report is not received yet. May a reminder

Am 5/3 be sent?

4/4/18

5/3Draft subd
Am 21/3

Issue

18
10/3/17.

... S. R. 1125

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

C. 7 File No. 180 of 1915.

Serial
No.

21.

To The Deputy Commissioner
H. 5357 of 16.3.17
With ref to Lr 20, Enquire when the final
reply, as promised will be expedited, since
the time proposed has elapsed long ago.

26.

from The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore Dt.

No. C 420 of 16-17. & 25th March 1919.
Submits report re the conduct of the
Head Munishi, Ramanuja Iyengar in
connection with the postponement
of the sale of properties of late Mr D
Devaraj Wrs called for in sl 21.

5. May this be filed as the Dy. Comt states
that Ramanuja Iyengar was continuously
on leave with effect from the 7th February 1906
till his retirement from service and the
further action may be dropped.

URS
28.3.19.

5. Subd for orders under reference
to the demand orders of 14-2-16 &
23-5-16.

Mr
Foley)

5. Maybe filed as Jan 18
as the munishi's action is concerned
Dewan yes 4
11.4.18

Min what stage
is the Bangalore

18
14/4

explained

Pages 5 & 9
of the file

2402
15/4

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on APR 19 1919
Reg. <u>6460.</u>
NOTE - Contn. sheet...

Diary No. of letter replied to 1985

No. JB 4033

General and Revenue Secretariat

(G.7)
, the 19 .)Date of issue 21st Feb 16

Signed by W. S. M. M. M.

To

The Deputy Comr

Bangalore D.

Sir

With reference to the

Correspondence ending with your letter

no. S. 515 of 2-2-16 re the postponement

of the sale of the properties of the late

Mr. D. Devaraj Urs, I am directed to

forward herewith the cutting of a

letter published in the Daily Post of

7-2-16 in which a complaint is made that the postponement

of the sale fixed for the 2nd Feb 16 was

not publicly notified, and that they

local shikdar were made known to intending over bidders.

SUBJECT. bidders on the spot.

* To be returned
with the reply.

~~that if~~ ^{issue a public notice} there was no time to do this

an official should have been posted

at the place of sale with a written

notice to inform intending bidders

of the postponement. I am ~~therefor~~ ^{to enquire} if this or some other

~~inquire~~ ^{arrangement was not made to} why the local

~~Officers concerned did not arrange to~~

~~give due intimation to the public, and~~

~~report the result to Govt.~~

inform intending bidders of
the postponement of the sale.

Thavara

Secretary to Govt

12 Rev Dept

17/2

Am 17/2

MT 17/2/16

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Dated Bangalore, 28th March 1916.

30

No. S. 626 Sic 1

From

K. Chandy, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To

V.R. Thyagaraja Iyer, Esq., M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. Fl. 4033 of the 19th February 1916 and subsequent reminder letter No. Fl. 4784 of the 18th instant, requesting to be informed whether a Notice regarding the postponement of the sale of the properties of the late Mr. Dalavoi Devaraj Urs, which was fixed for the 2nd and 7th February 1916 was notified at the place, I have the honor to state that the sale of the properties was to have been held at three places on the 2nd and 7th February 1916, as noted in the margin. The order of the postponement of the sale conveyed in your letter No. Fl. 3535/C.F. 180-15-5 of the 24th January 1916 was communicated to the Bangalore Taluk Amildar and the Sub-Division Officer of Closepet on the 25th idem. The Amildars of Magadi and Chennapatna Taluks report that the Shekdars of the concerned Hoblis were at the spots on the dates of the sale and communicated to the people its postponement. One Mr. Garudachar of the Bangalore City who applied to the Sub-Division Officer for certain information in this connection was informed by the Sub-Division Officer by post of the postponement of the sale. But the Amildar of the Bangalore Taluk reports that this office order of the 25th January 1916 was received in the Taluk Office on the same day, that it was referred to the Head Munshi -- (Ramanuja Iyengar) that it was not communicated to the Sheikdar through oversight, but that the Sheikdar had casually learnt the order of postponement and communicated it to a Mahomedan gentleman

- | | |
|----|-------------------------------|
| | <u>Bangalore Taluk.</u> |
| 1. | Ramohalli 2/2/'16. |
| 2. | Maligodana-nalli ... 7/2/'16. |
| | <u>Magadi Taluk.</u> |
| 1. | Tavarakere 2/2/'16. |
| | <u>Chennapatna Taluk.</u> |
| 1. | Soleri ... 7/2/'16. |

Copy of order
sent to
Munshi
for
reference

gentleman who saw him at kengeri. Head Munshi Ramanuja Iyengar is on three months' privilege leave with effect from the 7th February 1916, and on his return to duty, his explanation will be obtained and his conduct suitably noticed.

2. The enclosure received with your letter under reply is herewith retransmitted.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

K. L. S.

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

W.S.

L.S.R.
1.

9/5'

G. R. S. 26]

Sus

File No. 180-18

Serial No. 14

Diary No. of letter replied to 2667

General and Revenue Secretariat

(G. 7.)

, the

191

No. H 6143

To

27 June/16

The Deputy Comr.

Bangalore D.

Date of issue

1-6-16

Sir,

Signed by

W. Shanmugasami

With reference to the

Correspondence ending with your

letter no. S. 626 of 28/3/1916

regarding the omission to publish

the Govt. order postponing the sale

of the properties of the late Mr.

D. Devanaj Urs situated in the

Bangalore Taluk, I am directed

to request you to report what

notice has been taken of

over.

SUBJECT.

neglect
the ~~conduct~~ of the Head Munshi
Ramaniya Iyengar ~~who~~ neglect
in the matter,

I have to

le. 27.5.46
For F.H. Secy to Govt

Rev Dept

Adm
26/5/46

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

130
From

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore Dist

To

The Secretary Govt of Mysore
Revenue Dept
Bangalore

Dated 9/6/16

Despatched 13/6/16

Received

General No. S 816
No.

Enclosure No.

Re the Explanation of
H.D. Munshee Ramajee Rama-
-nija Dyggr on account
of his omission to publish
Government order for-
-forming the Sale of properties
of the late Mr. D. D. Desai
Rajpur -

ORDER NO 3300

10/5/16
SECTION 07
FILE NO 180-15
15

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Dated Bangalore, the 9th June 1916.

From

K. Chandy, Esquire, B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To

V.R.Thyagaraja Iyer, Esquire, M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

S i r ,

'With reference to your letter No. FL.6143/G.F.180-15-14 of the 1st instant, enquiring what notice has been taken of the conduct of the Head Munshi Ramanujiengar on account of his omission to publish the Government Order postponing the sale of the properties of the late Mr. . . Dalavoy Devaraja Urs, I have the honor to state that he has extended the leave up to 7th August 1916, and that on his return to duty his explanation will be obtained as stated in my letter No. 626 dated 30th March 1916, suitable notice will be taken and the result reported to Government.

I have the honor to be,

S i r ,

Your most obedient servant,

Narasimharaja Srinivasan

for Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

(absent from duty)

G. R. S. 26]

File No. 18075

Serial No. 18

Diary No. of letter replied to 3300

General and Revenue Secretariat

(9.7)
the 191 .

No.

R 2759
of 6 December 16

To

The Dy. Comr., Bangalore

Date of issue

6-12-16

Sir

Signed by

M. Shanmugam

With reference to the correspondence

ending with your letter No. S. 876 of 13-6-16

re the conduct of Head Munshi Ramaniya

Dy. Comr. in connection with the postpone-

ment of the sale of the properties of the

Kalemm D. Desai & Co., I am directed

to enquire when the further report

promised in your letter under reply

may be expected.

I have

for H. Secy
over.

29/11

SUBJECT.

28/11

21823

G. 8. 180-15
Ser: 26

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Bangalore, 5th February 1917.

Dear Sir,

With reference to your D.O. letter No. Fl. 4326 of the 31st January/2nd February 1917, re - the conduct of Head Munshi Ramanujalingar in connection with the postponement of the sale of the properties of the late Mr. D. Devaraj Urs, I write to inform you that the individual is still on leave. The Sub-Division Officer has already been requested to ascertain his address, obtain his explanation and submit the same at a very early date.

A reply to your reference may be expected before the end of this month.

Yours Sincerely,

Rameswararaja Iyengar

To

/ N. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Assistant Secretary,

Financial Department.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.
TYPING Sec.
13 MAR 1917

C. F.

File No. #8 180-8

Serial No. 21

Diary No. of letter replied to 1803

General and Revenue Secretariat

(G. F.)
the 191 .

D.O No. 365357

26 March 17

To

Dear Sir.

Date of issue

16-3-17

Shylo - In reply to H. V. Sundarajengar's
your office d.o letter

Signed by

Sreenivasan

no. 324 of 5.2.17 stating that the

further report in regard to the conduct
of Head munsif Ramanuja Iyer

in connection with the postponement
of the sale of the properties of the late Mr. Devan

It is maybe expected before the
end of February.

May I know

when the final report is

likely to be received here.

The papers have

been placed before

the Dewan Sir.

Yours truly

SUBJECT.

18

10/3/17.

I am desired to point

out that the report is not yet received

and request that it may be

arranged to be sent without

over.

Further delay

Yours sincerely

K. D. Srinivasa Sanyal B.A.

Dy. Commr., Bangalore Dt.

For M. M.
7/3

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

D-2631

191

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

The Financial Secretary
to the Govt. of Mysore

Dated 25-3-1919

Despatched — 0 —

Received

General No. C 420 of 16-17
No. Secy. 170-Sub. 11

6455 Enclosure No.

MAR 1919

Submits report re the
conduct of Head -
Kanniah Ramanna
Iyengar in connection
with the postponement
of the sale of properties
of late Mr D. Dhanrajiah

with
enclosure

9.7.1801-18-26

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Dated Bangalore, 25th March 1919.

No....

C 420 of 18-17

From

P.F.Bowring, Esq.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To

Rajamantrapravina, Dewan Bahadur,

J.S.Chakravarti, Esq., M.A., F.R.A.S.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Financial Department.


Sir,

With reference to your letter No.Fl.5351 of the 16th March 1917 and subsequent reminders ending with your D.O.letter No.Fl.4749 of the 21st instant, I have the honor to state that Ramanuja-Iyengar who was responsible for not communicating the order of postponement of the sale of the properties of the late Mr.Dulvoy Devaraj Urs, was continuously on leave with effect from the 7th February 1916, till his retirement from service was ordered by Revenue Commissioner in his No.C.2441 dated 7th February 1918. The individual. The individual not being now in service, it seems to me that further action may be dropped.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.


Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

L.S.R.
1.